

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

<b>In re:</b>	<b>§</b>	<b>Chapter 11</b>
<b>CORE SCIENTIFIC, INC., et al.,<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>§</b>	<b>Case No. 22-90341 (CML)</b>
	<b>§</b>	<b>(Jointly Administered)</b>

**ORDER ESTABLISHING MAXIMUM DISPUTED  
CLAIM AMOUNTS FOR CALCULATION AND DISTRIBUTION PURPOSES  
UNDER DEBTORS' PROPOSED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION**

Upon the emergency motion (the “**Motion**”)<sup>2</sup> filed by the above-referenced debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”) for entry of an order (the “**Order**”) approving the Maximum Disputed Amount of CUD Claims for Calculation Purposes and distribution pursuant to sections 105, 1123(a)(5) and 502(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, as set forth in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction over the matters raised in the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B) and that the Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors and their respective estates, creditors, and other parties in interest; and the Court having found that proper and adequate notice

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are as follows: Core Scientific Mining LLC (6971); Core Scientific, Inc. (3837); Core Scientific Acquired Mining LLC (6074); Core Scientific Operating Company (5526); Radar Relay, Inc. (0496); Core Scientific Specialty Mining (Oklahoma) LLC (4327); American Property Acquisition, LLC (0825); Starboard Capital LLC (6677); RADAR LLC (5106); American Property Acquisitions I, LLC (9717); and American Property Acquisitions VII LLC (3198). The Debtors’ corporate headquarters is 210 Barton Springs Road, Suite 300, Austin, Texas 78704. The Debtors’ service address is 2407 S. Congress Ave, Suite E-101, Austin, TX 78704.

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion

of the Motion and hearing thereon has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and the Court having found that good and sufficient cause exists for the granting of the relief requested in the Motion after having given due deliberation upon the Motion and all of the proceedings had before the Court in connection with the Motion,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The Maximum Disputed Amount for each CUD Claim is hereby established for each CUD Claimant as provided on the “Maximum Disputed Amount” column on Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, and Exhibit 4 hereto, at an aggregate maximum Allowed amount of \$114.3 million (the “**Maximum Disputed Amount**”) for Calculation Purposes and distribution.

2. To the extent any Settling GUC Claim is not Allowed on or prior to the Effective Date, such Settling GUC Claim shall be established, as provided in the applicable amount set forth on the “Adjusted Settling GUC Amount” column on Exhibit 4 attached hereto for each such Settling GUC Claimant.

3. The CUD Claims remain “Disputed” as defined in the Plan and shall remain so unless and until they are “Disallowed” or become “Allowed” as defined in the Plan.

4. Nothing in this Order shall prejudice the Debtors’ right to contest any CUD Claim.

5. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Motion.

6. Notwithstanding the relief granted herein and any actions taken hereunder, nothing contained in the Motion or this Order shall constitute, nor is it intended to constitute an implication or admission as to the validity or priority of any claim or lien against the Debtors, a waiver of the Debtors’, or any party in interest’s, rights to subsequently dispute such claim or lien,

a promise or requirement to pay any prepetition claim, an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in the Motion or any proposed order, a waiver of the Debtors', or any other party in interest's, rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law, or the assumption or adoption of any agreement, contract, or lease under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.

7. Notice of this Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice and the applicable requirements of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice

8. The Court retains jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2023  
Houston, Texas

---

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

**Exhibit 1**

**Litigation Claims**

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product

## Exhibit 1 - Litigation Claims

In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>1</sup>
1.	Sphere 3D Corp.	358	\$39,541,996	\$42,110,427
2.	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company	34	\$8,026,733	\$8,548,105
3.	Harlin Dean	364	\$8,000,000	\$8,519,636
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$55,568,729</b>	<b>\$59,178,168</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.74% through 4/30/2024

**Exhibit 2**

**Rejection Damage Claims**

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 2 - Rejection Damages Claims**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>1</sup>
1.	GEM Mining 1, LLC	647	\$5,897,312	\$6,184,686
2.	GEM Mining 4, LLC	648	\$2,163,763	\$2,269,202
3.	Convergint Technologies LLC	479	\$477,851	\$501,136
4.	Tenaska Power Services Co	552	\$200,000	\$209,746
5.	Proctor Management	02424107	\$0	\$9,439
6.	Gryphon Digital Mining, Inc. <sup>2</sup>	02424106	\$0	\$0
7.	Another Crypto	N/A	\$0	\$0
8.	Avnet, Inc.	N/A	\$0	\$0
9.	CrossCountry Consulting LLC	N/A	\$0	\$0
10.	Egencia LLC <sup>3</sup>	N/A	\$0	\$0
11.	EMO North Customers Brokers Ltd	N/A	\$0	\$0
12.	Ernst & Young LLP	N/A	\$0	\$0
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$8,738,925</b>	<b>\$9,174,209</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.74% through 12/31/2023

<sup>2</sup> The Debtors submit that the Master Services Agreement, Order #1, and Order #2, each by and between Core Scientific, Inc. and Gryphon Digital Mining, Inc., were breached by Gryphon and thus are not Executory Contracts subject to assumption or rejection pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. However, to the extent the Court determines that the aforementioned agreements are Executory Contracts, the Debtors intend to reject them and thus have included them in the Schedule of Rejected Contracts out of an abundance of caution

<sup>3</sup> The Debtors submit that the 2018 Travel Services Agreement, by and between Core Scientific, Inc. and Egencia LLC., was terminated and replaced by the postpetition 2023 agreement and thus the 2018 agreement is not an Executory Contract subject to assumption or rejection pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code. However, to the extent the Court determines that the 2018 agreement is an Executory Contract, the Debtors intend to reject it and thus have included it in the Schedule of Rejected Contracts out of an abundance of caution

**Exhibit 3**

**510(b) Claims**

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 3 - 510(b) Claims**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>1</sup>
1.	Morgan Hoffman <sup>2</sup>	Disallowed	N/A	\$2,129,909
2.	FLORIDA SBA TTEE	384	\$395,715	\$421,418
3.	Christopher Elliott Scott	320	\$359,512	\$382,864
4.	Bay Colony Law Center LLC	241	\$151,474	\$161,313
5.	Ani Kamali	229	\$150,000	\$159,743
6.	Francois Emmanuel Veilleux	555	\$75,000	\$79,872
7.	Robert Joseph	351	\$39,390	\$41,948
8.	Marvin W. Meyer	249	\$22,118	\$23,555
9.	Jason Walters [Jason Walters IRA]	311	\$21,193	\$22,569
10.	Ann A. Meyer	248	\$16,044	\$17,086
11.	Ann A. Meyer	247	\$15,241	\$16,231
12.	Marvin W. Meyer	250	\$12,848	\$13,683
13.	Michael & Elizabeth Silbergleid TRS FBO Silverknight Group Inc 401k Roth Plan FBO Michael Silbergleid	81	\$7,963	\$8,481
14.	Chad Dickman	349	\$4,045	\$4,308
15.	Thrasivoulos Dimitriou	52	\$3,104	\$3,305
16.	Alexandra Seifert	431	\$2,482	\$2,643
17.	Carrington Lobban	54	\$1,093	\$1,164
18.	Cori Faerman	212	\$81	\$86
19.	Cori Faerman	211	\$38	\$40
20.	Michael & Elizabeth Silbergleid TRS FBO Silverknight Group Inc 401k Plan FBO Michael Silbergleid	82	\$27	\$29
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$1,277,368</b>	<b>\$3,490,247</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.74% through 4/30/2024

<sup>2</sup> Morgan Hoffman's Securities Class Action POC (POC No. 632) was Disallowed following a hearing held on December 6, 2023. The Court authorized Morgan Hoffman to file a new proof of claim solely in his individual capacity by no later than December 20, 2023. Although Mr. Hoffman has not filed such proof of claim as of the date hereof, the Debtors have assumed a maximum claim amount of approximately \$2.1 million on account of such potential proof of claim and may update such amount to the extent Morgan Hoffman asserts damages that is higher or lower than that assumed maximum claim amount

**Exhibit 4**

**Other Disputed Claims**

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product

## Exhibit 4 - Other Disputed Claims

In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.

Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	MAXIMUM CLAIM AMOUNT (AS OF PETITION DATE)	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>3</sup>	ADJUSTED SETTLING GUC AMOUNT <sup>3</sup>	ADJUSTED MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT
1.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC] <sup>1</sup>	360	\$18,404,990	\$18,404,990	\$1,195,487	\$5,500,000	\$19,600,477	\$19,600,477
2.	MP2 Energy Texas, LLC d/b/a Shell Energy Solutions	372	\$10,140,424	\$10,140,424	\$658,666	\$10,799,090		\$10,799,090
3.	Dalton Utilities	398	\$9,092,340	\$9,092,340	\$590,588	\$9,682,928		\$9,682,928
4.	Harco National Insurance Company	415	\$8,000,000	\$8,000,000	\$519,636	\$8,519,636		\$8,519,636
5.	Maddox Industrial Transformer, LLC <sup>1</sup>	295	\$7,472,404	\$7,472,404	\$485,366	\$0	\$7,957,770	\$7,957,770
6.	Humphrey & Associates, Inc. <sup>1</sup>	510	\$7,036,021	\$7,036,021	\$457,021	\$0	\$7,493,042	\$7,493,042
7.	Kentucky Department of Revenue	176	\$4,021,412	\$977,685	\$63,505	\$1,041,190		\$1,041,190
8.	GEM Mining 2, LLC	570	\$2,862,275	\$2,862,275	\$185,918	\$3,048,192		\$3,048,192
9.	Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts on behalf of the State of Texas and Local Sales Tax Jurisdictions <sup>4</sup>	86	\$1,924,607	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
10.	XPDI Sponsor, LLC <sup>2</sup>	391	\$1,055,476	\$1,055,476	\$68,558	\$1,124,034		\$1,124,034
11.	Jonathan Barrett <sup>1</sup>	448	\$934,111	\$934,111	\$60,675	\$425,000	\$994,786	\$994,786
12.	GEM Mining 1, LLC	617	\$560,254	\$560,254	\$36,391	\$596,644		\$596,644
13.	GEM Mining 4, LLC	572	\$403,278	\$403,278	\$26,195	\$429,473		\$429,473
14.	Harco National Insurance Company	413	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$19,486	\$319,486		\$319,486
15.	GEM Mining 2 B, LLC	571	\$269,236	\$269,236	\$17,488	\$286,724		\$286,724
16.	Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts on behalf of the State of Texas and Local Sales Tax Jurisdictions	641	\$182,817	\$182,817	\$11,875	\$194,691		\$194,691
17.	Keystone Strategy LLC	330	\$171,488	\$171,488	\$11,139	\$182,627		\$182,627
18.	Herc Rentals, Inc.	340	\$127,512	\$127,512	\$8,282	\$135,795		\$135,795
19.	State of Nevada Department of Taxation <sup>4</sup>	36	\$127,450	\$36,265	\$2,356	\$38,620		\$38,620
20.	M. Arthur Gensler Jr. & Associates, Inc. a.k.a. Gensler	486	\$104,110	\$104,110	\$6,762	\$110,873		\$110,873
21.	Internal Revenue Service <sup>4</sup>	628	\$25,560	\$22,649	\$1,471	\$24,120		\$24,120
22.	City of Calvert City <sup>4</sup>	271	\$11,199	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
23.	Franchise Tax Board <sup>4</sup>	125	\$3,408	\$1,620	\$105	\$1,725		\$1,725
24.	Travis County	9	\$2,138	\$2,138	\$139	\$2,277		\$2,277
25.	King County Treasury	602	\$423	\$423	\$27	\$451		\$451
26.	Ward County	644	\$71	\$71	\$5	\$76		\$76
27.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC] <sup>2</sup>	393	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
28.	Foundry Digital LLC [DCG Foundry LLC] <sup>2</sup>	394	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
29.	Michael Trzupek <sup>2</sup>	365	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
30.	Michael Bros <sup>2</sup>	511	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
31.	Todd DuChene <sup>2</sup>	509	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
32.	Denise Sterling <sup>2</sup>	368	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
33.	Darin Feinstein <sup>2</sup>	376	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
34.	Neal Goldman <sup>2</sup>	512	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
35.	Michael Levitt <sup>2</sup>	369	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
36.	Russell Cann <sup>2</sup>	515	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
37.	Arch Insurance Company <sup>2</sup>	484	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
38.	Jarvis Hollingsworth <sup>2</sup>	507	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
39.	Matthew Minnis <sup>2</sup>	395	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 4 - Other Disputed Claims**

In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.

Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	MAXIMUM CLAIM AMOUNT (AS OF PETITION DATE)	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT <sup>3</sup>	ADJUSTED SETTLING GUC AMOUNT <sup>3</sup>	ADJUSTED MAXIMUM DISPUTED AMOUNT
40.	Kneeland Youngblood <sup>2</sup>	406	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
41.	Bitmain Development Pte. Ltd. <sup>2</sup>	278	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
42.	Bitmain Technologies Georgia Limited <sup>2</sup>	280	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
43.	Bitmain Technologies Limited <sup>2</sup>	281	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>			<b>\$73,233,003</b>	<b>\$68,157,586</b>	<b>\$4,427,142</b>	<b>\$42,463,654</b>		<b>\$72,584,728</b>

<sup>1</sup> Settling GUC Claim. Company expects the Settling GUC Claim to be settled for the amount indicated under the column "Maximum Disputed Amount" or withdrawn prior to the Effective Date, in which case such claim shall be Allowed for the Maximum Disputed Amount. If such claim settlement is final or such claim is withdrawn (as applicable) as of the Effective Date, any holder of such Settling GUC Claim will receive its distribution on account of its Settling GUC Claim on or about the Effective Date, pursuant to the Plan and the terms of such settlement. To the extent such Settling GUC Claim is not resolved or withdrawn prior to the Effective Date, the Debtors shall reserve for issuance, or be deemed to have issued, shares for such Settling GUC Claim in an amount equal to the Adjusted Settling GUC Amount

<sup>2</sup> Unliquidated Claim

<sup>3</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.74% through 4/30/2024

<sup>4</sup> Priority Tax Claim. To the extent such Claim is allowed, such Claim will be paid in cash and not New Common Interests

**Exhibit 5**

**Allowed General Unsecured Claims**

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 5 - Allowed GUCs<sup>2</sup>**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME <sup>3</sup>	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT PLUS POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>
1.	BRF Finance Co., LLC	328	\$33,661,657	\$1,640,325	\$35,301,982
2.	B. Riley Commercial Capital, LLC	327	\$8,415,414	\$410,081	\$8,825,495
3.	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	27	\$3,727,342	\$181,633	\$3,908,975
4.	Cooley LLP	40	\$2,916,769	\$142,133	\$3,058,902
5.	LML Services, LLC dba FlowTx	29	\$1,263,811	\$61,585	\$1,325,396
6.	Moss Adams LLP	28	\$669,689	\$32,634	\$702,323
7.	AAF International	493	\$266,468	\$12,985	\$279,453
8.	Novo Construction, Inc.	209	\$259,981	\$12,669	\$272,650
9.	ComNet Communications LLC	139	\$247,937	\$12,082	\$260,019
10.	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP	244	\$246,328	\$12,004	\$258,332
11.	Trinity Mining Group, Inc.	411	\$235,000	\$11,451	\$246,451
12.	CRG Financial LLC (As Assignee of KLDDiscovery Ontrack LLC)	333	\$193,517	\$9,430	\$202,947
13.	Consilio LLC	228	\$163,627	\$7,973	\$171,600
14.	Marnoy Interests, Ltd d/b/a OP	419	\$97,274	\$4,740	\$102,014
15.	Bergstrom Electric	02424068	\$89,929	\$4,382	\$94,311
16.	Onyx Contractors Operations, LP	134	\$82,945	\$4,042	\$86,987
17.	Delcom, Inc.	422	\$81,630	\$3,978	\$85,608
18.	McDermott Will & Emery LLP	66	\$54,834	\$2,672	\$57,506
19.	Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC	309	\$48,772	\$2,377	\$51,149
20.	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	599	\$35,580	\$1,734	\$37,314
21.	Fishman Stewart PLLC	266	\$35,200	\$1,715	\$36,915
22.	Reed Wells Benson and Company	02424024	\$34,400	\$1,676	\$36,076
23.	Eaton Corporation	332	\$32,680	\$1,592	\$34,272
24.	Carey Olsen Cayman Limited [CO Services Cayman Limited]	58	\$32,638	\$1,590	\$34,228
25.	Lattice	02424025	\$16,053	\$782	\$16,835
26.	TY Properties	02424119	\$15,610	\$761	\$16,371
27.	Averitt Express	16	\$15,057	\$734	\$15,791
28.	Truckload Connections	15	\$14,873	\$725	\$15,598
29.	Gravity Oilfield Services LLC	32	\$13,440	\$655	\$14,095
30.	GreatAmerica Financial Services Corporation [GreatAmerica Leasing Corporation]	14	\$12,668	\$617	\$13,285
31.	Lake Effect Traffic LLC	02424080	\$12,090	\$589	\$12,679
32.	Apex Logistics International Inc.	02424030	\$12,010	\$585	\$12,595
33.	Felker Construction Company Inc	264	\$11,933	\$581	\$12,514
34.	ORGDEV Limited	95	\$10,000	\$487	\$10,487
35.	CRG Financial LLC (As Assignee of Ricks Rental Equipment)	485	\$9,847	\$480	\$10,327
36.	Ricks Rental Equipment	37	\$9,847	\$480	\$10,327
37.	Uline	2	\$9,282	\$452	\$9,735
38.	BitAlpha, Inc. [Bitwave]	631	\$8,750	\$426	\$9,176
39.	Shermco Industries, Inc	02424046	\$8,154	\$397	\$8,551
40.	American Security and Protection Service LLC	02424092	\$8,071	\$393	\$8,464
41.	EvoTek	02424037	\$7,969	\$388	\$8,357
42.	Greyline Partners, LLC [IQ-EQ]	25	\$6,000	\$292	\$6,292
43.	Donnelley Financial Solutions	02424043	\$4,894	\$238	\$5,132
44.	American Paper & Twine Co	33	\$4,779	\$233	\$5,012
45.	M & S Patterson, Inc	10	\$4,610	\$225	\$4,835
46.	Regulatory DataCorp, Inc.	217	\$4,323	\$211	\$4,534
47.	Cloudflare Inc	02424058	\$3,552	\$173	\$3,725
48.	A to Z Pest Control & Services	38	\$3,530	\$172	\$3,702

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 5 - Allowed GUCs<sup>2</sup>**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME <sup>3</sup>	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT PLUS POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>
49.	Calvert City Municipal Water and Sewer	272	\$3,529	\$172	\$3,701
50.	Bitwave	02424105	\$3,500	\$171	\$3,671
51.	Logix Fiber Networks	20	\$2,984	\$145	\$3,129
52.	Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation	02424085	\$2,437	\$119	\$2,556
53.	Lone Star Corporation	24	\$2,158	\$105	\$2,263
54.	Tangent Energy Solutions Inc	02424067	\$2,000	\$97	\$2,097
55.	Microsoft Corporation	339	\$1,699	\$83	\$1,782
56.	Grubhub Holdings Inc	02424097	\$1,591	\$78	\$1,668
57.	Grand Forks Utility Billing	02424051	\$1,530	\$75	\$1,605
58.	Northern States Power Minnesota dba Xcel Energy	6	\$1,177	\$57	\$1,235
59.	AT&T	02424135	\$1,139	\$56	\$1,194
60.	Optilink	02424095	\$1,058	\$52	\$1,110
61.	FedEx	02424127	\$797	\$39	\$836
62.	Dockery Auto Parts	02424074	\$758	\$37	\$795
63.	Prime Mowing and Property Management LLC	02424117	\$750	\$37	\$787
64.	Cherokee Rental, Inc.	35	\$722	\$35	\$757
65.	Level 3 Communications LLC	02424063	\$701	\$34	\$735
66.	CenturyLink Communications, LLC	188	\$701	\$34	\$735
67.	Kesco Air Inc	02424104	\$680	\$33	\$713
68.	Salary.com LLC	02424057	\$631	\$31	\$662
69.	Water Works C&R, LLC	02424069	\$592	\$29	\$621
70.	Countrywide Sanitation Co	02424137	\$577	\$28	\$605
71.	Murphy & Grantland, P.A.	17	\$480	\$23	\$503
72.	Rhode Island Division of Taxation	627	\$403	\$20	\$423
73.	C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.	113	\$398	\$19	\$417
74.	Carpet Capital Multi-System Inc	02424077	\$395	\$19	\$414
75.	Bearden Industrial Supply	02424109	\$354	\$17	\$371
76.	Eagle Promotions	02424076	\$295	\$14	\$309
77.	Austin Professional Cleaning Services, LLC	02424126	\$261	\$13	\$273
78.	Waterlogic Americas LLC	02424138	\$210	\$10	\$220
79.	Commercial Plumbers Supply	02424108	\$203	\$10	\$213
80.	Carpet Capital Fire Protection Inc	02424094	\$200	\$10	\$210
81.	Mountain Top Ice	02424083	\$184	\$9	\$193
82.	Mobile Modular Portable Storage	02424114	\$135	\$7	\$142
83.	Colo Properties Atlanta LLC	02424084	\$118	\$6	\$123
84.	Pye-Barker Fire and Safety LLC	02424082	\$118	\$6	\$123
85.	Frontline Shredding Inc	614	\$100	\$5	\$105
86.	Nebraska Department of Labor	22	\$95	\$5	\$100
87.	EPB of Chattanooga	02424130	\$92	\$4	\$96
88.	Lisa Ragan Customs Brokerage	02424139	\$90	\$4	\$94
89.	JBM Office Solutions	02424115	\$78	\$4	\$82
90.	Marble Community Water System	02424136	\$63	\$3	\$66
91.	Interstate Welding and Steel Supply	02424102	\$57	\$3	\$60
92.	Alpha Waste Disposal Inc	02424129	\$56	\$3	\$59
93.	Financial Accounting Standards Board/Governmental Accounting Standards Board	02424120	\$9	\$0	\$9
94.	Data Sales Co Inc	02424042	\$3,064	\$149	\$3,213

Privileged & Confidential  
Attorney Work Product**Exhibit 5 - Allowed GUCs<sup>2</sup>**In re Core Scientific, Inc., et al.  
Case No. 22-90341 (CML), Jointly Administered

COUNT	NAME <sup>3</sup>	CLAIM NUMBER	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT	POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>	TOTAL FILED CLAIM AMOUNT PLUS POST-PETITION INTEREST <sup>1</sup>
95.	Dallas County	26	\$5,021	\$245	\$5,265
96.	State of Tennessee Department of Revenue	02424153	\$22	\$1	\$23
	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$53,164,972</b>	<b>\$2,590,717</b>	<b>\$55,755,689</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes Post-Petition Interest at the Federal Judgement Rate of 4.74% through 12/31/2023<sup>2</sup> Does not include (i) Miner Equipment Lender Deficiency Claims, which may be found on Exhibit J to the Plan or (ii) Claims related to executory contracts that the Debtors intend to assume pursuant to the Plan or otherwise settled<sup>3</sup> The Allowed GUC Claims below do not include claims that the Debtors have objected to pursuant to the Debtors' First Omnibus Claims Objection To Certain (I) Amended Claims; (II) Exact Duplicative Claims; (III) Beneficial Bondholder Duplicative Claims; (IV) Multiple Debtor Claims; (V) Wrong Debtor Claims; (VI) Late Filed Claims; (VII) Insufficient Documentation Claims; (VIII) Equity Claims; And (IX) Reclassified Claims (Based On Priority) [Docket No. 1460], as may be amended, modified, or supplemented (the "First Omnibus Claims Objection"), and only includes Surviving Claims indicated in the First Omnibus Claims Objection